

Filed Jan 12, 2015

HON. STEPHEN J. TAYLOR, J.S.C

KIRSCHENBAUM & KIRSCHENBAUM, P.C.
A Professional Corporation Incorporated
in the State of New York
200 Garden City Plaza, Suite 500
Garden City, NY 11530
T (516) 747-6700
F (516) 747-6781
Svetlana Minevich, Esq.
Attorneys for Plaintiff

-----X
SLOMIN'S, INC.,

Plaintiff,

- against -

DENISE SCHIAVONE,

Defendant.

-----X

**SUPERIOR COURT OF NEW JERSEY
LAW DIVISION; MORRIS COUNTY
SPECIAL CIVIL PART**

DOCKET NO. DC-007466-14

CIVIL ACTION

ORDER FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT

THIS MATTER being opened to the court by KIRSCHENBAUM & KIRSCHENBAUM, P.C., attorneys for the plaintiff, SLOMIN'S, INC., by way of motion seeking an order for Summary Judgment and the Court having considered the motion, pleadings on file and/or argument of the moving party and for good cause appearing;

IT IS on this 12th day of January, 2015,

ORDERED that Summary Judgment is granted in favor of the plaintiff and against the defendant and it is **ORDERED** and **ADJUDGED** that judgment is to be entered on the first count in the sum of \$452.90, on the second count in the sum of \$1,016.40, on the third count in

the sum of \$687.00, with interest on the first, second, and third counts in the complaint from April 14, 2012 in the sum of \$ 937.86, statutory attorney's fees in the sum of \$58.12, contractual attorney's fees in the sum of \$718.76, and costs of suit in the sum of \$39.00, for a total of ~~\$2,972.18~~ **\$3,910.04**.

It is **FURTHER ORDERED** that a copy of this Order be served by the moving party upon all other parties, or their attorneys, if any, within 7 days of the date hereof.

The motion was: _____ Opposed X Unopposed


 Hon. Stephen J. Taylor J.S.C

The mere filing of an answer cannot defeat a factually supported motion for Summary Judgment. No genuine issue(s) of material fact(s) exists which preclude the movant's right to relief. There is a signed contract here which sets forth the plaintiff's right to recovery as set forth in the complaint and moving papers. Defendnat's general denials in her answer are insufficient to deny the motion.